

### Workflow

- Choose crustal events
- Filter unuseful periods
- Rrup \ Mw \ Vs30 \ Focal type \ Station ID

• Oversampling

• Undersampling

- Build model for each SA periods
- Compare their performance





(c)

(d)

### **Data Preprocess**

٠

٠

٠



Boxplots before SMOGN

### **Ground Motion Model**

Input parameters : Rrup  $\ Mw \ Vs30 \ Focal type \ Station ID$ 



![](_page_5_Figure_0.jpeg)

### **Model Validation - Residual**

- Take PGA model as example
- Check if model have some bias
- Compare result in different features

### **Model Validation – Inter-event, Intra-event**

╋

Event effect

Std.

- Check the inter-event residual
- Check the intra-event residual
- Make sure don't have some bias

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

Station effect

#### **Model Validation –**

### **Distance Scaling**

- Compare with other GMMs
- Average all station id in same condition
- Compare with different magnitude

![](_page_7_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Model Validation – Respond Spectrum for Engineering**

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

## **SHAP Framework – Global Explanation**

•

•

![](_page_10_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **SHAP Framework – Local Explanation**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

Shap

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

### Conclusions

- The XGBoost model can perform well in the ground motion model question.
- Take advantage of residual or another statistical figure to make sure it would not have bias.
- Explain ML base mode by SHAP value to understand model details.
- Apply in the open source platform OpenQuake to ensure our model is usable.

Connect with me to discuss more study details~ Email : <u>t1616joy@yahoo.com.tw</u> ; Name : Chih-Yu Chang

# **Optimize Hyperparameters**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Reference: Takuya Akiba et al, 2019 🕨 O P T U N A

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### Vs30 - Station ID = SHAP value residual

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)